



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,076	02/19/2004	Chun-Cheng Lin	10011-00048	4532
74603	7590	04/03/2009	EXAMINER	
IPC Intellectual Property Connections, INC. 299 Old County Road, Suite 28 San Carlos, CA 94070			ARNOLD, ERNST V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/782,076	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ERNST V. ARNOLD	<b>Art Unit</b> 1616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ERNST V. ARNOLD. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.
- (2) Hsiu-Ming Saunders. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 March 2009.

Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference  
c)  Personal [copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 4.

Identification of prior art discussed: Andres et al. (US 7186398).

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1) Applicant provided potential claim amendments for the Examiner's consideration. The potential amendments excluded iron from the gold nanoparticles and excluded magnetic property. In contrast, the reference of Andres et al. requires the presence of iron. 2) Since this is After Final, the Examiner stated that if such claims were filed then they may require further search and consideration in view of the new limitations which were not previously presented.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ernst V Arnold/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1616